For presentation in person (not online)

## Numberless count nouns in Danish: Rejecting a non-contrastive zero suffix

In modern Danish, a substantial group of nouns that denote countable concepts exhibit no formal distinction between singular (SG) and plural (PL) in the indefinite form, e.g. *mus* 'mouse', in contrast to the majority of count nouns where SG is formally unmarked, i.e. expressed by a zero sign, and PL is expressed by an overt suffix (e.g. *bil-er* 'cars') or by stem change (e.g. *mænd* 'men'), cf. Table 1.

| Table 1: Number inflection of Danish nouns in indefinite |            |                |                            |
|--|------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| and definite forms; overt PL markers in boldface         |            |                |                            |
|  | Noun gloss | Indefinite     | Definite                   |
| Singular   | 'mouse'    | mus            | mus-en                     |
|  | 'car'      | bil            | bil-en                     |
|  | 'man'      | mand           | mand-en                    |
| Plural   | 'mouse'    | mus            | mus-en <b>e</b>            |
|  | 'car'      | bil- <b>er</b> | bil- <b>er</b> -n <b>e</b> |
|  | 'man'      | m <b>æ</b> nd  | m <b>æ</b> nd-en <b>e</b>  |

The established interpretation of the morphology of the *mus* type is that it has a zero PL ending, which entails that *mus* exhibits a structural contrast between SG zero suffix and PL zero suffix (Hansen & Heltoft 2011: 488, cf. Basbøll 2005: 369 who rejects zero as a PL expression element but assumes a semantic SG/PL distinction). In contrast, this paper argues that *mus* and similar nouns constitute a class of numberless count nouns that are essentially indeclinable (cf. Russian indeclinable nouns, Timberlake 2006: 148-150). The analysis is based on Mel'čuk's (2006: 470-471) criteria for positing zero signs, first of all the contrastiveness criterion, and the theoretical framework is structurally informed sign-based functionalism (Engberg-Pedersen et al. 1996, 2005; Harder 1996). The empirical data of the study are obtained from the OLAM-database of Danish word structure (Madsen et al. 2002).

The SG/PL contrast of the definite forms *musen-musene* (cf. Table 1) may appear to save number inflection of the *mus* class, but the definite ending should be disregarded in the analysis. Definiteness is a mandatory category of the NP (Hansen & Heltoft 2011: 464) but not mandatorily marked morphologically on the noun; it may be expressed syntactically by a determiner (1).

(1) den lille mus

ART small mouse

'the small mouse'

Number is the core inflection bound to nouns (Hansen & Heltoft 2011: 486), while the definiteness category is peripheral, which is reflected in the fact that only number (PL) can have a lexically specified unproductive ending, while definiteness is always expressed by the fully productive non-integrated ending (-en/-et/-ene) (Basbøll 2005: 358, 368).

The morphological definite marker is a morpheme bundle that combines marking of definite form, gender and number (Heltoft 2001, Hansen & Heltoft 2011: 466). The contrast SG -Ø vs. PL -e in the morphologically definite noun forms is the definite ending's "own number inflection" which agrees with the true number inflection of the noun (if any), cf. agreement with the SG/PL stem alternation in *mand-en* vs. *mænd-ene* in Table 1. Thus, the marking of plural in the definite plural *musene* 'the mice' is not a number inflection of the noun stem.

The paper discusses further morphological and syntactic as well as semantic aspects of the numberlessness of the indeclinable nouns, including communicative ambiguity.

## References

- Basbøll, H. (2005). The Phonology of Danish. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Engberg-Pedersen, E., M. Fortescue, P. Harder, L. Heltoft, L. Falster Jakobsen (eds) (1996). *Content, Expression and Structure. Studies in Danish Functional Grammar*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Engberg-Pedersen, E., M. Fortescue, P. Harder, L. Heltoft, M. Herslund, L. Falster Jakobsen (2005). *Dansk Funktionel Lingvistik*. University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen Business School & Roskilde University.
- Hansen, E. & L. Heltoft (2011). *Grammatik over det Danske Sprog*. Copenhagen: Det Danske Sprog- og Litteraturselskab.
- Harder, P. (1996). Functional Semantics. A Theory of Meaning Structure and Tense in English. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Heltoft, L. (2001). Reanalysing structure. The Danish definite article, its predecessors and development. *Acta Linguistica Hafniensia* 33, 67-90.
- Madsen, T.O., H. Basbøll, and C. Lambertsen. 2002. OLAM et semiautomatisk morfologisk og lydstrukturelt kodningssystem for dansk. *Datalingvistik 2002 = Odense Working Papers in Language and Communication* 24: 43–56.
- Mel'čuk, I. (2006). *Aspects of the Theory of Morphology*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. Timberlake, A. (2006). *A Reference Grammar of Russian*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.